



# Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences

[http://www.jebas.org](http://www.jebas.org/)

**ISSN No. 2320 – 8694**

# Assessment of Physico-Chemical Properties of Biogas Slurry as an Organic Fertilizer for Sustainable Agriculture

Ritu Nagdev<sup>1,2</sup>, Shakeel Ahmad Khan<sup>3\*</sup>, Renu Dhupper<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Amity Institute of Environmental Sciences, Amity University, Noida, Uttar Pradesh, India <sup>2</sup>ICAR-National Bureau of Soil Survey and Land Use Planning (NBSS & LUP), RC, New Delhi, India 3 ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, India

Received – June 22, 2024; Revision – September 09, 2024; Accepted – September 19, 2024 Available Online – September 25, 2024

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18006/2024.12(4).634.644

#### **KEYWORDS**

Chemical fertilizer

Biogas slurry

Soil fertility

Sustainable agriculture and environment

# **ABSTRACT**

Chemical fertilizers have been extensively used for growing crops and controlling plant diseases, but they pose potential hazards to the environment, soil health, plants, and people. The current world situation highlights the need to implement eco-friendly agricultural practices for sustainable crop production. Using environmentally friendly manure, such as biogas slurry, can help reduce the negative effects of chemical fertilizers. Biogas slurry is an efficient waste material and organic fertilizer, making it an ideal supplement for sustainable crop production and waste management. An experiment was conducted at IARI, New Delhi, to explore the nutrient potential of biogas slurry. The main objective of this study was to assess biogas slurry's physico-chemical characteristics and nutrient contents. Samples of biogas slurry were collected in three replications and analyzed using standard methods for macro and micronutrients. The data revealed that biogas slurry has a pH of 7.2-8.5, EC of 1.06 to 1.12 dS/m, and organic carbon content of 41.7 to 45.8%. In terms of fertility, it contains significant amounts of nitrogen (1.98-2.17%), phosphorus (0.97 to 1.15%), and potassium (1.98 to 2.17%). Additionally, biogas slurry contains micronutrients such as Zn (0.023-0.027 ppm), Cu (0.005-0.009 ppm), Fe (0.32-0.38 ppm), and Mn (0.089-0.094 ppm). Statistical analysis using ANOVA and Post Hoc tests indicated that the mean data values among all three replications do not differ significantly. Therefore, it can be concluded that the nutritive value of biogas slurry is sufficient to reduce the reliance on chemical fertilizers in agriculture. It represents an optimal long-term organic remedy for developing fertile soil, ensuring enduring agricultural productivity, and mitigating the negative environmental impacts associated with waste management.

\* Corresponding author E-mail: shakeel\_iari@yahoo.com (Shakeel Ahmad Khan)

Peer review under responsibility of Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences.

Production and Hosting by Horizon Publisher India [HPI] (http://www.horizonpublisherindia.in/). All rights reserved.

All the articles published by [Journal of Experimental](http://www.jebas.org/)  [Biology and Agricultural Sciences](http://www.jebas.org/) are licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) International License Based on a work at www.jebas.org.



# **1 Introduction**

The agriculture sector plays a significant role in India's economy and significantly impacts the country's GDP. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), there is projected to be a 60% increase in the demand for agricultural commodities by 2030, with over 85% of this increase attributed to developing economies (Mia and Shamsuddin 2010). The use of chemical fertilizers has increased globally, leading to higher farm productivity but also posing environmental challenges (Faheed and Abd-El Fattah 2008; Thorat and More 2022). In India, the use of chemical fertilizers significantly rose in the 1960s following the introduction of high-yielding crop varieties. However, the excessive use of chemical fertilizers can lead to soil degradation, such as acidification and reduced fertility, impacting crop yields over time (Yadav et al. 2023).

Nitrogen (N) fertilizers are the main source of  $N_2O$  emissions from agricultural soil, with the agricultural sector contributing 65–80% of the world's N2O emissions (Ghosh et al. 2022; Mosier and Kroeze 2000; IPCC 2007). Overusing nitrogen-based fertilizers can lead to nitrate leaching, contaminating groundwater and causing adverse effects on the ecosystem (Sharma et al. 2022). This can result in nitrate and phosphate runoff from agricultural fields, leading to eutrophication in nearby water bodies, harming fish populations and posing health risks to humans and animals (Agarwal et al. 2010; Rahman et al. 2008).

Inorganic fertilizers contain poisonous elements that plants absorb, pass through to vegetables and grains, and enter the food chain. This can result in financial losses and environmental harm (Tirado and Allsopp 2012). Fertilizers include various heavy metals such as lead, mercury, uranium, and cadmium, which can severely damage the kidneys, lungs, and liver and, at higher concentrations, can be a cause of cancer (WHO 1992). A higher dose of potassium may cause kidney dysfunction, diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, coronary artery disease, adrenal insufficiency, and pre-existing hyperkalemia (APHA 1998). Cadmium toxicity, known as "Itai-Itai", was first identified in Japan in 1912. When associated with human tissues, cadmium may cause harm, especially to kidneys, bones, and lungs. Therefore, if chemical fertilizer is not handled properly, it can put farmers at risk for poisoning, and straw made from crops fertilized with chemical fertilizer can cause animals to grow thin (Berihu 2012).

The shift towards environmentally sustainable practices is crucial, moving away from conventional methods and prioritizing organic farming over chemical fertilizers to improve soil quality and ecological well-being. Organic farming differs significantly from chemical fertilizers by reducing nutrient loss, enhancing soil fertility (Gattinger et al. 2012), lowering global warming potential (Cavigelli et al. 2013), and increasing crop yields (Seufert et al. 2012). Organic residues are key to soil fertility (Ayuso et al. 1996). While inorganic fertilizers contain higher levels of plant nutrients than organic fertilizers, including organic fertilizers is essential for improving soil fertility and agricultural productivity (Sanwal et al. 2007; Adeleye et al. 2010). Organic agriculture, a sustainable farming method, has gained global interest, but specific challenges must be addressed to expand these practices (Kumar et al. 2023). The utilization of organic residues, especially biogas slurry (BGS), may provide a potential solution (Malav et al. 2015) and serve as a viable alternative to synthetic fertilizers for ecologically sustainable agricultural practices (Tang et al. 2022).

Anaerobic digestion of crop residues and animal manure produces biogas slurry, often used as a substitute to reduce mineral fertilizer input (Sun et al. 2024). Cow dung (CD) is commonly used as a feedstock for biogas production and a source of BGS (Younessi et al. 2023). The discharge of biosolids (BS) currently presents significant environmental challenges. Therefore, it is crucial to explore alternative strategies for the effective utilization of biosolids (Wang et al. 2023). Biogas slurry, a byproduct of the anaerobic digestion of livestock manure, is a highly efficient method for the management and disposal of livestock manure and agricultural byproducts (Kang et al. 2020; Ferdous et al. 2020; Liang et al. 2023; He et al. 2024). It contains significant nutrients compared to other organic manures, such as farmyard manure (FYM) and composts (Table 1). Due to its high nutrient content, the use of biogas slurry as a fertilizer has significantly increased in China and many other Asian nations (Gupta et al. 2016). In India, the generation of biogas slurry has increased, leading to significant environmental disposal issues (Khan et al. 2015).

<b>Slurry</b>	Oven-dried slurry	Slurry compost	Biogas effluent	Ordinary compost	Biogas sludge	Farmyard manure (FYM)
Nitrogen %	$1.6 - 3.7$	$0.57 - 2.23$	$0.03 - 0.08$	$0.5 - 1.0$	$0.8 - 1.5$	$0.3 - 0.5$
Phosphorus %	$1.6 - 2.2$	$0.072 - 2.11$	$0.02 - 0.06$	$0.1 - 0.3$	$0.4 - 0.6$	$0.1 - 0.2$
Potassium %	$0.8 - 3.6$	$0.0 - 5.1$	$0.5 - 0.10$	$0.5 - 0.7$	$0.6 - 0.12$	$0.5 - 0.7$
References	Karki 2004	Vaidya et al. 2007	Warnars and Oppenoorth 2014	Meena and Biswas 2013	Yakout et al. 2014	Bandyopadhyay et al. 2010
(Source: Mukhtiar et al.2024)						

Table 1 Nutritional comparison of biogas slurry and other compost

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

The government of India has recently implemented substantial measures to promote the utilization of biogas slurry as an organic fertilizer. The livestock population in India is estimated to be 512.05 million (Dikshit and Pratap 2010). Each year, 76.8 million tons of slurry and approximately 1.15 metric tons of nitrogen are produced in India (Kumar et al. 2015). It has been estimated that using slurry instead of chemical fertilizer can reduce nitrogen use by around 8.78%, phosphorus use by about 11.01%, and potassium use consumption by roughly 14% (Devarenjan et al. 2019). By using biogas slurry, farmers can lower their production costs and increase soil fertility and productivity while reducing the use of chemical fertilizers.

Biogas slurry is a product of the anaerobic digestion of organic material, primarily used for cooking, lighting, and running machinery (Kamp and Forn 2016). It is a low-cost, environmentally friendly, and safe option for people and animals (Islam et al. 2016). According to Devarenjan et al. (2019), biogas slurry consists of 93 percent water, 4.50 percent dry matter, and 2.50 percent inorganic matter. It contains significant levels of macronutrients (N, P, K) and micronutrients (Zn, Mn, B) essential for plant growth, making it a valuable source of organic fertilizer (Alam 2006). The biogas slurry contains 1.50 percent nitrogen, 1.10 percent phosphorus, and 1.0 percent potassium. Additionally, Vinh (2010) suggested that one cubic meter of biogas slurry contains 0.16 to 1.05 kg of nitrogen, equivalent to 0.35 to 2.5 kg of urea. The growth rate of crops can be significantly improved by using biogas slurry (Ahmad and Jabeen 2009) and enhancing the nutrient content in crops (Vishwakarma et al. 2023). Most research has shown that using biogas slurry resulted in maximum crop yields. For example, the anaerobic digestate from beef cows increased nitrogen and phosphorus intake in barley fodder (Tang et al. 2021). Jothi et al. (2023) also found a significant enhancement in tomato yield and the number of fruits produced per plant when they utilized biogas slurry obtained through an anaerobic digestion process. Similarly, optimal rice and rapeseed production levels were achieved by applying biogas slurry at 165.10 and 182.10 t

ha<sup>-1</sup> (Zhang et al. 2022). Garg et al. (2005) reported an increase in maize grain yields  $(6.21 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1})$  and an enhancement of root length density (1.10 cm cm<sup>-3</sup>) by using biogas slurry at the rate of 15 Mg ha−1 . Kumar et al. (2015) and Feng et al. (2024) reported that biogas slurry can reduce chemical fertilizer use by 15% to 20%. These studies suggest that the efficient use of organic fertilizers promotes economic development, improves agricultural sustainability, and positively affects the environment by reducing reliance on imported chemical fertilizers. This information was gathered during a study conducted at the Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, to explore the benefits of biogas slurry in agriculture and to estimate its physico-chemical characteristics and nutrient contents.

#### **2 Materials and Methods**

#### **2.1 Biogas sample collection, preparation and analysis**

The experiment occurred at CESCRA, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI) in New Delhi, India. Biogas slurry samples were collected from biogas plants at the IFS Agronomy Division of IARI farm in New Delhi. The collected samples, with a volume of 1 L and containing between 92 and 93% water content, underwent a 15-day sun-drying process. After drying, the samples were crushed and sieved through a 2 mm sieve (Figure 1). Subsequently, the biogas slurry was analyzed at the Laboratory of CESCRA, ICAR-IARI, in New Delhi to determine various parameters using standard methods (Table 2).

The biogas slurry samples were analyzed for their physicochemical parameters. Each analysis was conducted in three replications. To determine the total solids content in the biogas slurry, the samples were subjected to oven drying at 105°C until a consistent weight was achieved, and then the weight of the dried residue was calculated. The pH and electrical conductivity are important for characterizing biogas slurry, so they were measured in fresh samples using a pH meter and an electrical conductivity meter.



Figure 1 Biogas slurry sample collection and preparation

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

Assessment of Physico-Chemical Properties of Biogas Slurry for Sustainable Agriculture 637

Table 2 Physico-chemical parameters and their estimation methods Parameter Methods Soil pH (1:2.5 soil: water) Piper1966 Electrical conductivity (dS/m) Jackson1967 Organic carbon (%) Walkley and Black1934 Nitrogen (%) Kjeldahl 1883 Phosphorus (%) Vanado molybdate phosphoric yellow colour method, Jackson 1973 Potassium (%) Flame photometer method, Jackson 1973 Micronutrients (Zinc, Copper, Iron and Manganese) Lindsay and Norvell 1978





#### **2.2 Statistical analysis**

The data obtained from three replications of biogas slurry were analyzed using statistical methods. The statistical technique used for this analysis was an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and a Post Hoc test. These tests were used to identify any significant differences between the means of the three replications (Zheng et al. 2017; Xu et al. 2021). In this study, each parameter represents a dependent group, and the replications of biogas slurry (R-I, R-II, R-III) are the independent groups. The Null Hypothesis  $(H_0)$  in the analysis of variance states that the means of the total composition of biogas slurry for the three replications are equal, while the Alternative Hypothesis (H1) states that at least one of the means is different among all the replications.

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

#### **3 Results and Discussion**

# **3.1 Physico-chemical characteristics of biogas slurry**

The biogas slurry samples were collected and taken to the laboratory for analysis. The nutrient components of biogas slurry can vary depending on factors such as the constituents of the feedstock, efficiency of the digestion process, any additives or treatments used, etc. The physico-chemical factors that determine whether biogas slurry is suitable to use as manure for crops include total solids, pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and micronutrients (such as Zn, Cu, Fe, and Mn). Three replications of biogas slurry have been analyzed for their physico-chemical properties (Table 3).

638Nagdev et al.







Figure 3 pH in biogas slurry

#### **3.1.1 Total solids**

The amount of solid materials present in biogas slurry can vary depending on the specific sample and the type of feedstock used in biogas production and digestion. According to the current study, the total solids content ranges from 5.2 to 6.5 percent (Figure 2). These findings are consistent with the results reported by Barasa et al. (2020), who indicated that a total solids concentration of 6 to 8 percent is beneficial for stabilizing anaerobic digestion processes. Research on biogas production from bovine excreta has explored a wide range of dilutions (2:1–1:19) and associated total solids concentrations (ranging from 1% to 13.5%), as well as extremely low total solids levels (TS < 4%) (Jeppu et al. 2022).

#### **3.1.2 pH and Electric conductivity**

Monitoring and controlling the pH of biogas slurry is crucial to maintaining optimal conditions for the microbial activity responsible for biogas production. However, this range can fluctuate due to the specific conditions of the biogas reactor and

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

the quality of the feedstock being processed. The pH level in the soil directly impacts the availability of the nutrients required for plant growth. The data related to pH monitoring revealed that the pH of the biogas slurry ranges from 7.2 to 8.5 (Figure 3). These results are consistent with earlier findings that the pH value of biogas slurry falls within the range of 7.69 to 8.29 (Malav et al. 2015; Naihui et al. 2023). Studies have indicated that different pH levels can influence biogas production from bovine excreta, with a pH of about 8.52, resulting in an alkaline condition (Bahira et al. 2018). Additionally, biogas slurry can neutralize acidic soils and improve soil pH (Feng et al. 2024).

It is crucial to regularly monitor and manage the electrical conductivity to maintain the stability and efficiency of biogas production processes. The biogas slurry's electric conductivity (EC) ranged from 1.06 to 1.12 dS/m, as shown in Figure 4. The concentration of electric conductivity is dependent on the concentrations of dissolved ions and salts. In a previous experiment, Yadav et al. (2023) demonstrated that the electric conductivity of biogas slurry ranged between 1.72 and 1.83.

Assessment of Physico-Chemical Properties of Biogas Slurry for Sustainable Agriculture 639



Figure 4 Electric conductivity in biogas slurry





### **3.1.3 Organic carbon**

The biogas slurry samples were analyzed for their organic carbon content, crucial for determining their nutrient value and potential use as a nutrient-rich fertilizer for agriculture or soil improvement. The study showed that the biogas slurry contains approximately 41.7 to 45.8 percent organic carbon (Figure 5). Biogas slurry (BS) is widely acknowledged as a significant source of organic matter and essential nutrients for enriching soil organic carbon (Chen et al. 2024).

The organic carbon in biogas slurry is crucial for maintaining microorganism stability, which in turn sustains continuous decomposition activity and enhances the nutritional dynamics of the digestate.

#### **3.1.4 Nitrogen, Phosphorus and potassium (NPK)**

The anaerobic breakdown of cow excrement leads to elevated levels of ammonium nitrogen while also increasing the durability of organic compounds. However, this process also significantly reduces the carbon-nitrogen ratio, leading to a higher presence of Nitrogen (Gutser et al. 2005). Given the high costs associated with phosphorus and potassium, which are important environmental concerns, using bio-slurry as an alternative source is expected to reduce agricultural production costs substantially. Data shows that biogas slurry's nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium content ranges from 1.98 to 2.17 percent, 0.97 to 1.15 percent, and 0.91 to 1.16 percent, respectively (Figure 6). With its NPK content, biogas slurry can be used as a nutrient-rich organic fertilizer due to its three essential nutrients for plant growth. The current study indicates slightly higher NPK values than those of Skrzypczak et al. (2023), who reported approximately 2.55 percent nitrogen, 0.57 percent phosphorus, and 1.77 percent potassium. Some research findings suggest that the combined use of liquid bio-slurry  $(20.6 \text{ mha}^{-1})$  and nitrogen (41 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) has the potential to improve the physicochemical characteristics of soil (Musse et al. 2020). This study is supported by research indicating that biogas slurry has a higher NPK content than chemical fertilizers (Vishwakarma et al. 2023).

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

640Nagdev et al.



Figure 6 NPK in biogas slurry



Figure 7 Micronutrients in biogas slurry

### **3.1.5 Micronutrients**

Plant growth is heavily dependent on micronutrients. Plants require a precise balance of essential nutrients for optimal development and productivity. As a result, the micronutrient content in biogas slurry has been analyzed using AAS. The study data revealed that the micronutrients zinc, copper, iron, and manganese range from 0.023-0.027 ppm, 0.005-0.009 ppm, 0.32-0.38 ppm, and 0.089- 0.094 ppm, respectively (Figure 7). Previous research has also confirmed that biogas slurry provides a rich supply of micronutrients essential for plant growth, including Zn, Cu, Fe, and Mn (Liu et al. 2023). Malav et al. (2015) reported that biogas slurry contains Zn (0.023 ppm), Cu (0.004 ppm), Fe (0.34 ppm), and Mn (0.088 ppm). Therefore, the results of the current study are consistent with those of these researchers.

### **3.2 Statistical analysis**

# **3.2.1 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) among three replications of biogas slurry**

The results from the physicochemical analysis of three sets of biogas slurry were statistically interpreted using the ANOVA method. This statistical approach will help identify significant differences in the parameter means across all three sets (Table 4). The P value was greater than 0.05, indicating no significant

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org



#### Table 5 Post Hoc Tests among three replications of biogas slurry



difference between all three replications of biogas slurry. The composition of parameters in each replication is equally impacted. This study demonstrates that there is no significant difference among the replications.

significant amounts of organic matter, the biogas slurry under investigation contains sufficient macro and micronutrients crucial for plant development.

# **3.2.2 Comparison of replications of biogas slurry through Post Hoc Test**

The data from all three replications were analyzed using a post hoc test to gain a better understanding of the differences among them. This test is done after an ANOVA to compare the means of the different replications and identify where the significant differences are. After comparing the treatment replications, it was found that there were no significant differences among the different replications of biogas slurry (Table 5).

In this experiment, the biogas slurry was considered the dependent variable, while the nutrients in the biogas slurry were treated as the independent variable. The null hypothesis  $(H<sub>0</sub>)$  suggests no significant difference between the means of the replications, whereas the alternative hypothesis  $(H<sub>1</sub>)$  indicates that the means of at least one replication significantly differ from the others. The means of the three biogas slurry replications do not vary significantly, as indicated by a P value greater than 0.05. The correlations among pH, electric conductivity, organic carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and micronutrients were positive and statistically significant. The results of this investigation suggest that biogas slurry has the potential to be an effective soil amendment compared to cow dung, as it can regulate soil pH, enhance nutrient accessibility, and gradually release phosphorus fertilizer according to specific plant requirements. The nutrients in the biogas slurry were statistically insignificant (>0.05), indicating that biogas slurry is a superior organic fertilizer for soil amendment compared to other organic fertilizers. In addition to

# **Conclusion**

The study of biogas slurry in three replications showed that this organic manure contains a significant amount of macro and micronutrients. Statistical techniques such as ANOVA and Post Hoc tests were used to validate these results. The results indicated no significant difference in the mean values of any physicochemical parameters of the biogas slurry between the three replications, with a P value larger than 0.05. This suggests that biogas slurry can provide enough nutrients to reduce India's reliance on chemical fertilizers, which benefits the country's economy and ecology. Farmers are encouraged to incorporate biogas slurry into their agricultural practices for environmentally friendly crop development and sustained crop growth.

# **Acknowledgement**

The authors thank CESCRA, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi, for providing laboratory facilities and other useful resources to carry out this work.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

Authors have no conflicts of interest.

# **References**

Adeleye, E.O., Ayeni, L.S., & Ojeniyi, S.O. (2010). Effect of poultry manure on soil physico-chemical properties, leaf nutrients content and yield of yam (*Discorea rotundata L*.) on Alfisol in

South Western Nigeria. *Journal of American Science, 6*(10), 871- 878.

Agrawal, A., Pandey, R.S., & Sharma, B. (2010).Water pollution with special reference to pesticides contamination in India. *Journal of Water Resources Protection*, *2*(5), 432-448.

Ahmad, R., & Jabeen, N. (2009). Demonstration of growth improvement in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) by the use of organic fertilizers under saline conditions. *Pakistan Journal of Botany, 41*(3), 1373-1384.

Alam, S. (2006). *Production of organic manure in Bangladesh, Bangladesh Livestock Research Institute's Report, Savar, Dhaka, Bangladesh:* Science Publishing Group.

APHA. (1998). *Standard methods for the examination water and waste water.* Washington DC: 20th edition American Public Health Association.

Ayuso, M., Hernández, T., García, C., & Pascual, J.A. (1996). Biochemical and chemical-structural characterization of different organic materials used as manures. *Bioresource Technology*, *57*(2), 201-207.

Bahira, B.Y., Baki, A.S., & Bello, A. (2018). Effect of Varying pH on Biogas Generation using Cow Dung. *Direct research journal of biology and biotechnology, 4*(3), 28-33.

Bandyopadhyay, K.K., Misra, A.K., Ghosh, P.K., & Hati, K.M. (2010). Effect of integrated use of farmyard manure and chemical fertilizers on soil physical properties and productivity of soybean. *Soil Tillage Research*, *110*(1), 115-125.

Barasa, H.M., Daudi, M.N., Musa, R.N., & Joseph, W.M. (2020). Effect of total solids on biogas production in a fixed dome laboratory digester under mesophilic temperature. *Annals of Advanced Agricultural Sciences*, *4*(2), 26-33.

Berihu, A. (2012). *Biogas-bio-slurry: A package for narrowing gender disparity in the rural households – the case of Hintalo-Wajirat and Ofla woredas, Tigray region. [Master's Thesis*, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa Ethiopia].

Cavigelli, M.A., Mirsky, S.B., Teasdale, J.R., Spargo, J.T., & Doran, J. (2013). Organic grain Cropping systems to enhance ecosystem services. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, 28*(2), 145-159.

Chen, Z., Ma, J., Ma, J., Ye, J., Yu, Q., Zou, P., et al. (2024). Long-term biogas slurry application increases microbial necromass but not plant lignin contribution to soil organic carbon in paddy soils as regulated by fungal community. *Waste management, 175,* 254-276.

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

Devarenjan, J., Joselin Herbert, G.M., & Amutha, D. (2019), Utilization of Bioslurryfrom Biogas Plant as Fertilizer. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, *8*(4), 12210-12213.

Dikshit, A. K., & Pratap, S. (2010). Environmental value of dung in mixed crop-livestock systems. *Indian Journal of Animal Sciences, 80*(7), 679–682.

Faheed, F., & Abd-El Fattah, Z. (2008). Effect of *Chlorella vulgaris* as Bio-fertilizer on Growth Parameters and Metabolic Aspects of Lettuce Plant., *Journal of agriculture and social sciences*, *4*, 165-169.

Feng, G., Hao, F. He, W., Ran, Q., Nie, G., et al. (2024). Effect of Biogas Slurry on the Soil Properties and Microbial Composition in an Annual Ryegrass-Silage Maize Rotation System over a Five-Year Period. *Microorganisms, 12*(4), 716.

Ferdous, Z., Ullah, H., Datta, A., Attia, A., Rakshit, A., & Molla, S. H.(2020). Application of Biogas Slurry in Combination with Chemical Fertilizer Enhances Grain Yield and Profitability of Maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 51*(19), 2501–2510.

Garg, R.N., Pathak, H., Das, D.K., & Tomar, R.K. (2005). Use of Flyash and Biogas Slurry for improving Wheat Yield and Physical Properties of Soil. *Environmental Monitoring and Assess*ment, *107*(1-3), 1-9.

Gattinger, A., Muller, A., Haeni, M., Skinner, C., Fliessbach, A., Buchmann, N., et al.(2012). Enhanced top soil carbon stocks under organic farming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109*, 18226-18231.

Ghosh, S., Sarkar, A., Hazra, A., & Bagdi, T. (2022). Organic Farming and Digested Biogas Slurry for Sustainable Agriculture in India: A Review. *Journal of Social Work and Social Development*, *12* (2), 81-96.

Gupta, K.K., Aneja, K.R., & Rana, D. (2016). Current status of cow dung as a bioresource for sustainable development. *Bioresource and Bioprocess, 3*(28), 1-11.

Gutser, R., Ebertseder, T., Weber, A., Schram, M., & Schmidhalter, U. (2005). Short-term and residual availability of nitrogen after long-term application of organic fertilizers on arable land. *Journal of plant Nutritional and Soil science*, *168*, 439-446.

He, W.Q., Fan, Y.F., Zhang, X.F., & Xiang, T.Y. (2024). Effects of biogas slurry as the replacement for chemical fertilizers on chinese cabbage yield and soil quality. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 22*(3):2359-2366.

#### Assessment of Physico-Chemical Properties of Biogas Slurry for Sustainable Agriculture 643

IPCC. (2007). Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *Geneva, Switzerland: Teri Press.*

Islam, R., Nur Hossain Md., Fakruddin, Md., Rabbi K., & Abdul Baten, Md. (2016). Effect of solid waste slurry from biogas plant on soil parameters and yield of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea L*.). *Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International, 5*(1), 1-11.

Jackson, M. L. (1967). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. *Bombay, India*: *Oxford and IBHP Publishers.* 

Jackson,M.L.(1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. New delhi, India: *Prentice Hall of India Pvt., Ltd.*

Jeppu, G.P., Janardhan, J., Kaup, S., Janardhanan, A., Mohammed, S., & Acharya S. (2022). Effect of feed slurry dilution and total solids on specific biogas production by anaerobic digestion in batch and semi-batch reactors. *Journal of material cycles and waste Management, 24,* 97–110.

Jothi, G., Pugalendhi, S., Poornima, K., & Rajendran, G. (2003). Management of root-knot nematode in tomato *Lycopersicon esculentum*, Mill., with biogas slurry. *Bioresource Technol*ogy, *89*  (2), 169–170.

Kamp, L.M., & Forn, E.B. (2016). Ethiopia's emerging domestic biogas sector: Current status, bottleneck and drivers. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *60*, 475-488.

Kang, Q., Zhao, J., Zhu, P., Gong, Q., Wang, L., & Li, Z. (2020). The Growth Characteristics of Three Terrestrial Plants Cultivated with Biogas Slurry as a Hydroponic Medium. *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, *11*, 819-831.

Karki, K.B. (2004). Estimation of plant nutrients content in biogas slurry and losses during handling. *Agricultural research for enhancing livelihood of Nepalese people*, *30*, 330-334.

Khan, S.A., Malav, L.C., Kumar, S., Malav, M.K., & Gupta, N. (2015). Resource Utilization of Biogas Slurry for Better Yield and Nutritional Quality of Baby Corn. *Advances in Environmental and Agricultural Science*, *32*, 382-394.

Kjeldahl, J. (1883). A New Method for the Determination of Nitrogen in Organic Matter. *Zeitschrift für Analytische Chemie*, *22*, 366-382.

Kumar, A., Verma, L.M., Sharma, S., & Singh, N. (2023). Overview on agricultural potentials of biogas slurry (BGS): Applications, challenges and solution. *Biomass conversion and biorefinery, 13*, 13729-13769.

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

Kumar, S., Malav, L.C., Malav, M.K., & Khan, S.A. (2015). Biogas slurry: source of nutrients for eco-friendly agriculture. *International Journal of Extensive Research*, *2*, 42-46.

Liang, F., Shi, Z., Wei, S., & Yan, S. (2023). Biogas slurry purification-lettuce growth nexus: Nutrients absorption and pollutants removal. *Science of the total environment*, *890*, 164383.

Lindsay, W.L. and Norvell, W.A. (1978) Development of a Dtpa Soil Test for Zinc, Iron, Manganese, and Copper. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *42*, 421-428. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1978.03615995004200030009x

Liu, Z., Jia, M., Li, Q., Lu, S., Zhou, Z., Feng, L., Hou, Z., & Yu, J. (2023). Comparative analysis of the properties of biochars produced from different pecan feedstocks and pyrolysis temperatures. *Industrial crops and products*, *197*: 116638.

Malav, L.C., Shakeel A.K., Gupta, N., Kumar, S., Bhattacharyya, R., & Malav, M.K. (2015). Effect of Biogas Slurry and Urea on Soil Health. *Journal of Agricultural Physics*, *15*(1), 55-62.

Meena, M., & Biswas, D. (2013). Residual effect of rock phosphate and waste mica enriched compost on yield and nutrient uptake by soybean. *Legume Research*, *36*(5),406-413.

Mia, M. A. B., & Shamsuddin, Z. H. (2010). Rhizobium as a crop enhancer and biofertilizer for increased cereal production. *African Journal of Biotechnology, 9*(37), 6001–6009.

Mosier, A.R., & Kroeze, C. (2000). Potential impact on the global atmospheric N2O budget of the increased nitrogen input required to meet future global food demands. *Chemosphere-Global Change Sci*ence, *2*, 465–473.

Mukhtiar, A., Mahmood, A., Zia, M.A., Ameen, M., Dong, R., et al. (2024). Role of biogas slurry to reclaim soil properties providing an eco-friendly approach for crop productivity. *Bioresource Technology Reports*, *25*(10), 101716, 1-14.

Musse, Z. A., Yoseph Samago, T., & Beshir, H. M. (2020). Effect of liquid bio-slurry and nitrogen rates on soil physico-chemical properties and quality of green bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) at Hawassa Southern Ethiopia. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, *15*(1): 207–212.

Naihui, L., Yang, X., Liu, J., Liu, Y., Chen, Q., Wu, F., & Chang, R. (2023). Effect of raw material and application rate of biogas slurry on Cucumber growth, *Fusarium* wilt suppression, and soil properties. *Environmental Technology & Innovation, 32,* 103396.

Piper, C.S. (1966). *Soil and plant analysis*. Bombay: Hans Publishers.

Rahman, S. M. E., Islam, M. A., Rahman, M.M., & Oh, D.H. (2008). Effect of cattle slurry on growth, biomass yield and chemical composition of maize fodder. *Asian-Austtralasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, *21*(11), 1592- 1598.

Sanwal, S.K., Lakminarayana, K., Yadav, R. K., Rai, N., Yadav, D.S., & Bhuyan, M. (2007). Effect of organic manures on soil fertility, growth, physiology, yield and quality of turmeric. *Indian Journal of Horticulture, 64*(4), 444-449.

Seufert, V., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J.A. (2012). Comparing the yields of organic and conventional agriculture. *Nature*, *485*(7397), 229–232.

Sharma, G.K., Khan, S.A., Srivastava, M., Bhattacharyya, R., Sharma, A., et al. (2022). Phycoremediated N-fertilization approaches on reducing environmental impacts of agricultural nitrate leaching, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *354*, 1-21.

Skrzypczak, D., Izydorczyk, G., Taf, R., & Moustakas, K. (2023). Cellulose-based fertilizers for sustainable agriculture: Effective methods for increasing crop yield and soil health. *Industrial Crops and Products, 205*(1429):117500.

Sun, H., Shi, K., Ding, H., Ding, C., Yang, Z., et al. (2024). The effect of biogas slurry application on biomass production and the silage quality of corn. *Animal Biosciene*, *36*(12): 1918-1925.

Tang, J., Yin, J., Davy, A.J., Pan, F., Han, X., Huang, X., & Wu, D. (2022). Biogas Slurry as an Alternative to Chemical Fertilizer: Changes in Soil Properties and Microbial Communities of Fluvo-Aquic Soil in the North China Plain. *Sustainability, 14*(22), 15099.

Tang, Y., Luo, L., Carswell, A., Misselbrook, T., Shen, J., & Han, J. (2021). Changes in soil organic carbon status and microbial community structure following biogas slurry application in a wheatrice rotation. *Science of the Total Environment*, *757*, 143786.

Thorat J. C., & More A. L. (2022). The effect of chemical fertilizers on environment and human health. *International Journal of Scientific Development and Research*, *7*(2), 99-105.

Tirado, R., & Allsopp, M. (2012). Phosphorus in agriculture problems and solutions. Technical Report (Review). Netherland: *Greenpeace Research Laboratories Technical Report*. Retrieved from https://www.greenpeace.to/greenpeace/wp-content/uploads/ 2012/06/tirado-and-allsopp-2012-phosphorus-in-agriculturetechnical-report-02-2012.pdf.

Vaidya, G.S., Shrestha, K., & Wallander, H. (2007). Function of organic matter (green manure) and the effect on soil properties. *Banko Janakari*, *17*(2), 62-69.

Vinh, N. Q. (2010). Utilization of liquid bio-slurry as fertilizer for green mustards and lettuces in Dong Nai province.*Vietnam*: *Vietnam Publication*.

Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences http://www.jebas.org

Vishwakarma, M., Bangre, J., Khandkar, U.R., Tiwari, S.C., & Vishwakarma, M. (2023). Effect of Inorganic fertilizers and biogas slurry on content and uptake of NPK in wheat grown under sodic vertisols. *International Journal of Plant and Soil Science, 35*(20), 1197-1260.

Walkley, A. J., & Black, I. A. (1934). Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science, 37*, 29–38.

Wang Z., Sanusi I.A., Wang, J., Ye, X., Kana, E.B.G. Olaniran, A.O., & Shao, H. (2023). *Developments and Prospects of Farmland Application of Biogas Slurry in China—A Review. Microorganisms*, *11*(11), 2675.

Warnars, L., & Oppenoorth, H. (2014). A study on bioslurry results and uses. Hauge: *Deltahage.*

World Health Organization (1992). Environmental Health Criteria 134: Cadmium; World Health Organization: Geneva. Switzerland: *WHO Library Cataloguing in Publication Data.*

Xu, W., Zhu, Y., Wang, X., Ji, L., Wang, H., Yao, L., & Lin, C. (2021). The Effect of Biogas Slurry Application on Biomass Production and Forage Quality of *Lolium multiflorum*. *Sustainability, 13*(7), 3605.

Yadav, R., Sudhishri, S., Khanna, M., Lal, K., Dass, A., et al. (2023). Temporal characterization of biogas slurry: a pre-requisite for sustainable nutrigation in crop production. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food System, 7*, 1234472.

Yakout, T., Mostafa, D.M., & Youssef. G. (2014). Utilization of dried biogas digester residue as an organic fertilizer with mineral and bio-fertilizer on growth and yield of sweet peppers. *Alexandria Science Exchange Journal*, *35*(4), 325-333.

Younessi, H.S., Bahramara, S., Adabi, F., & Golpîra, H. (2023). Modeling the optimal sizing problem of the biogas-based electrical generator in a livestock farm considering a gas storage tank and the anaerobic digester process under the uncertainty of cow dung. *Energy*, *270*(1): 126876.

Zhang, H., Xu, B., Zhao, C., Liu, J., Zhao, Y., Sun, S., & Wei, J. et al. (2022). Simultaneous biogas upgrading and biogas slurry treatment by different microalgae-based technologies under various strigolactone analog (GR24) concentrations. *Bioresource Technology, 351*, 127033.

Zheng, X., Fan, J., Xu, L., & Zhou, J. (2017) Effects of Combined Application of Biogas Slurry and Chemical Fertilizer on Soil Aggregation and C/N Distribution in an Ultisol. *PLoS ONE, 12*(1), e0170491.