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ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at fruit orchard, Department of Horticulture, CCS HAU, Hisar to standardize the optimum level of water and NPK fertilizers through drip system for the sustained production of Kinnnow mandarin under semi-arid condition of Haryana. The experiment was designed in factorial RBD with three replications and consisted of twelve treatment combinations, involving of three drip irrigation regimes (1.0, 0.8 and 0.6 volume of water) and four RDF levels of NPK (120%, 100%, 80% and 60% RDF). Significantly highest gain in plant growth parameters viz., plant height (48.8 cm), stem girth (6.24 cm) and plant spread (40.1 cm) was observed with the combined application of drip irrigation at 1.0 volume of water and 120% RDF through fertigation, whereas, highest number of fruits (515.9) and yield per hectare (258.7 quintals) was registered with the interaction effect of 0.8 volume of water and 80% RDF through drip irrigation.
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1 Introduction

Kinnow mandarin (Citrus reticulata Blanco), a man-made hybrid between King mandarin (Citrus nobilis Lour.) and Willow leaf mandarin (C. deliciosa Tan.) has become one of the most important commercial crops cultivated in Northern part of India due to increased demand in both domestic as well as in international markets (Gera, 2014 & Chaba, 2017). It is extensively grown in semi-arid regions of Punjab, Haryana, northwestern parts of Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh (Ahmad & Siddiqui, 2015). Flood irrigation is predominantly practiced in various Kinnow orchards of India. However, the use efficiency of water in flood irrigation method is very less due to excess loss of water through conveyance, percolation, evaporation and distribution (Shirgure, 2012). Adoption of trickle irrigation would increase the water use efficiency up to 95% while maintaining higher yield (Pattanaik, 2017). Similarly lower use efficiencies of fertilizers with the traditional fertilizer application methods is noticed due to significant losses of nutrients by leaching, run-off, gaseous emission and fixation by soil. Fertigation has many advantages over applying water and fertilizer separately, such as increased mobility of nutrients, reduced fertilizer and water, effective nutrient placement and flexibility in application frequency in addition to development of uniform root distribution. Besides these benefits, fertigation also reduces the potential of groundwater contamination caused by fertilizer leaching (Gärdenäs et al., 2005). The impact of drip irrigation and drip fertigation had been studied in different citrus cultivars under various agro-climatic zones in India (Kusakabe et al., 2006; Navarro & Falivene, 2015; Shirgure et al., 2016; Panigrahi & Srivastava, 2017) emphasizing the enhanced water and nutrient use efficiency compared with traditional method of surface irrigation along with broadcasting or band placement of fertilizer in tree basins. In Haryana, where brackish underground water and canal water are common source of irrigation due to poor distribution of rainfall, the adoption of drip irrigation and fertigation could save substantial quantity of both water and fertilizers. However, no information is available regarding optimal irrigation and fertilizer schedule through drip and the performance of drip-fertigation compared to traditional irrigation and fertilizer application in Kinnow mandarin. Keeping above details in perspective, the present study was carried out to irrigation and fertilizer application through drip irrigation.

2 Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted during 2014 at the experimental block of Department of Horticulture, CCS HAU, Hisar, Haryana, located at an elevation of 211.3 m above mean sea level. The meteorological data of the experimental site observed during the period of investigation is graphically presented (Figure 1). The six-years-old Kinnow mandarin plants planted at 6 m x 6 m distance were used as experimental material. The orchard having 144 trees, which were divided into 36 treatment plots with each four Kinnow plants. The experiment comprised of twelve treatment combinations, involving three irrigation levels (1.0V,
irrigation during the fertigation events. Fertigation was done in eight split doses at monthly interval (Anonymous, 2007). In order to maintain the plant in healthy condition, the recommended package of practices and plant protection measures were followed. Plant growth parameters were measured twice viz., at start of the experiment (during February) and after the crop harvest (during December month). The plant height in each treatment was measured from the base of trunk to the tip of the terminal extension growth using a bamboo pole. The stem girth was recorded by employing a measuring tape at a point marked above 15 cm from the ground level, whereas, the plant spread was recorded by measuring the canopy spread in both east-west and north-south directions with the help of a bamboo pole. The average plant spread in both the directions (East-West and North-South) was taken as total spread of the trees. The average annual increment in each growth parameter was calculated and expressed in centimeter. The fruit and yield data were recorded during harvesting season (December month).

The statistical analysis of data was carried out in factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) using OriginPro statistical software (OriginLab, Northampton, Massachusetts). The critical differences (P<0.05) was used for separation of treatment means.

### 3 Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Growth parameters

The average annual increment in plant growth parameters in relation to different drip irrigation and fertigation treatments are presented in Table 1. Among irrigation treatments, significantly highest increment in plant height (41.4 cm), stem girth (5.68 cm) and plant spread (34.8 cm) were obtained with drip irrigation at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Increment in plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Increment in stem girth (cm)</th>
<th>Increment in plant spread (cm)</th>
<th>Number of fruits per plant</th>
<th>Fruit diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Fruit weight (g)</th>
<th>Fruit yield (q ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Irrigation Levels</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₁</td>
<td>41.4±0.8a</td>
<td>5.68±0.02a</td>
<td>34.8±1.2a</td>
<td>470.9±1.7b</td>
<td>7.34±0.02b</td>
<td>170.0±0.7b</td>
<td>223.2±1.2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₂</td>
<td>39.2±0.1b</td>
<td>5.48±0.05b</td>
<td>32.9±0.9b</td>
<td>488.7±6.2b</td>
<td>7.48±0.01b</td>
<td>173.2±0.5b</td>
<td>236.0±3.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₃</td>
<td>28.9±0.9c</td>
<td>4.36±0.03c</td>
<td>22.6±1.0c</td>
<td>384.4±2.2c</td>
<td>6.8±0.04c</td>
<td>146.7±0.8c</td>
<td>157.0±0.6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fertigation Levels</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₁</td>
<td>41.9±0.5a</td>
<td>5.69±0.06a</td>
<td>34.2±0.7a</td>
<td>461.6±5.8a</td>
<td>7.30±0.01b</td>
<td>168.3±1.8a</td>
<td>217.7±4.4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₂</td>
<td>39.7±1.1b</td>
<td>5.51±0.05a</td>
<td>32.6±2.3b</td>
<td>463.6±3.7a</td>
<td>7.32±0.03b</td>
<td>167.9±0.8b</td>
<td>218.2±1.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₃</td>
<td>35.3±0.7c</td>
<td>5.01±0.09c</td>
<td>29.9±0.5c</td>
<td>456.0±3.5c</td>
<td>7.27±0.04c</td>
<td>166.8±1.1c</td>
<td>213.4±1.7c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F₄</td>
<td>29.0±0.6d</td>
<td>4.48±0.03d</td>
<td>23.6±0.7d</td>
<td>410.7±6.1d</td>
<td>6.93±0.01d</td>
<td>150.3±0.7d</td>
<td>172.4±3.2d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same letter in each parameter is not significantly different (P<0.05). I₁, I₂ & I₃ drip irrigation at 1.0V, 0.8V and 0.6V, whereas, F₁, F₂, F₃ & F₄ fertigation at 120% RDF, 100% RDF, 80% RDF and 60% RDF, respectively.
1.0 volume of water, whereas, the lowest improvement in vegetative growth viz., plant height (28.9 cm), stem girth (4.36 cm) and plant spread (22.6 cm) was noticed under 0.6V of irrigation. The maximum growth with highest irrigation level could be attributed to availability of sufficient moisture that led to the better development of photosynthetic area, accelerated photosynthetic rate and eventually amplified plant growth. The highest level of vegetative growth with increased level of irrigation was reflective of the outcome of the experiment carried out by Panigrahi et al. (2012) in Nagpur mandarin. Limited growth under 0.6V irrigation level attributed to negative effects of water inadequacy caused on photosynthesis, resulting in reduced cell elongation and growth. The analogous results were earlier obtained by Chauhan & Chandel (2008) and Kumar et al. (2013) in Kiwifruit and sweet orange cv. Mosambi, respectively. In different fertigation treatments, maximum gain in plant growth (plant height, stem girth and plant spread) were observed with the application of 120% RDF through drip irrigation, whereas lowest gain in plant growth was found with the application of 60% RDF. Plant growth was gradually increased with increased fertigation level. The greater vegetative growth at higher dose of fertigation might be due to increased availability of nutrients in the root zone as the NPK nutrients from the basis of fundamental constituents of all living plants. Wassef et al., (2007) and Haneef et al., (2014) reported higher magnitude of growth with enhanced absorption of major nutrients through fertigation. The interaction between drip irrigation and fertigation levels significantly affected the plant height and stem girth. The highest gain in plant height (48.8 cm) and stem girth (6.24 cm) was exhibited with irrigation at 1.0 volume of water and 120% RDF, followed by the treatment involving 1.0V irrigation + 100% RDF. However, increment in plant spread was not influenced significantly due to interaction of different irrigation and fertigation treatments. Greater improvement in vegetative growth at higher treatment level was possibly due to sufficient and continuous availability of moisture along with major nutrients (NPK) contributing towards vegetative development of plants as a result of higher partitioning of photosynthates, better photosynthetic area and cell turgidity. These observations corroborated with the findings of Joshi et al. (2012) and Kumar et al. (2013), who also obtained higher growth with increased amount of water and fertilizers in litchi and sweet orange, respectively.

3.2 Yield parameters

It is evident from the table that the significantly higher number of fruits (488.7), maximum fruit weight (173.2 g) and fruit diameter (7.48 cm) was observed with 0.8V of irrigation. While, the minimum number of fruits (384.4), lesser fruit weight (146.7 g) and fruit diameter (6.8 cm) were recorded with 0.6V drip irrigation. The highest number of fruits with 0.8V irrigation might be due to constant and adequate availability of moisture in plant rhizosphere during fruit developmental stages that eventually enhanced the fruit retention capacity of plant. The importance of regular and appropriate supply of water during fruit development stages was highlighted earlier by Subramanian et al. (1997), Panigrahi et al. (2012) and Ghosh & Pal (2016). Further, Sezen et al. (2015) also reported a gradual increases in yield with increased level of irrigation, while, Kumar et al. (2013) reported a significant reduction in the number of fruits and fruit yield with highest irrigation level. At highest irrigation level (1.0V), the number of fruits and fruit weight was reduced. Regarding fruit yield, it was greater with irrigation level at 0.8V, largely as a consequence of maximum number of fruits and higher fruit weight in the treatment. The different fertigation levels significantly influenced the fruit yield. The maximum number of fruits per tree was harvested from the plants supplied with 100% RDF (486.5), which is closely followed by 120% RDF (482.7) and 80% RDF (477.4). Yield contributing characters and yield were improved with increase in fertigation level from 60% to 100% RDF. Further increase in RDF levels had no significant impact on the number of fruits, fruit weight and fruit yield. However, the response was statistically similar with those of 100% and 120% RDF, indicating that 80% RDF was sufficient for sustaining the productivity. These results are in line with the findings of Murthy (1997) in grape.

Different combination of irrigation and fertigation treatments had an appreciable impact on number of fruits per plant. Maximum number of fruits per tree was registered when 0.8 volume of water combined with fertigation with 80% RDF (515.9). This treatment was numerically similar with combined effect of drip irrigation at 0.8V + 100% fertigation (508.34) and drip irrigation at 1.0V + 120% fertigation (502.8). Interaction effect of irrigation at 0.6V and 60% RDF had least number of fruits per tree (378.3). The heavier fruits and higher fruit diameter was recorded with 0.8V irrigation + 80% RDF fertigation. Data presented in Table 2 also reveals that highest fruit yield (258.7 q ha⁻¹) was obtained with interaction effect of 0.8V irrigation + 80% RDF, being numerically at par with 0.8V irrigation + 100% RDF (252.5 ha⁻¹) and 1.0V irrigation + 120% RDF (247.2 ha⁻¹). However, the treatment with 0.8V irrigation + 80% RDF appeared to be more viable as it saved 20% of RDF. The higher yield realized under these treatments was due to better photosynthesis in the leaves caused by consistent availability of water for absorption, excellent soil-water-air relationship and better uptake of nutrient elements, resulting in proper translocation of food material. The views of Panigrahi & Srivastava (2017) and Shirigure et al. (2016) in Nagpur mandarin and Suman & Raina (2014) in apple are in support of the present findings.
Table 2 Combined effect of different drip irrigation and fertigation treatments on growth and yield of Kinnow mandarin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Increment in plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Increment in stem girth (cm)</th>
<th>Increment in plant spread (cm)</th>
<th>Number of fruits per plant</th>
<th>Fruit diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Fruit weight (g)</th>
<th>Fruit yield (q ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I₁F₁</td>
<td>48.8±1.2a</td>
<td>6.2±0.08a</td>
<td>40.1±2.3</td>
<td>502.8±12.8bc</td>
<td>7.47±0.03</td>
<td>176.8±2.3c</td>
<td>247.2±8.0bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₁F₂</td>
<td>45.9±1.1ab</td>
<td>6.09±0.08b</td>
<td>38.3±2.3</td>
<td>488.7±7.7bc</td>
<td>7.45±0.06</td>
<td>175.1±1.0bc</td>
<td>237.9±5.1cd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₁F₃</td>
<td>37.6±1.6c</td>
<td>5.31±0.18cd</td>
<td>32.4±1.6</td>
<td>464.5±10.8de</td>
<td>7.39±0.03</td>
<td>172.7±0.8c</td>
<td>222.9±4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₁F₄</td>
<td>33.1±1.5f</td>
<td>5.08±0.10fg</td>
<td>28.3±1.6</td>
<td>427.6±9.7f</td>
<td>7.03±0.06</td>
<td>155.5±2.4f</td>
<td>184.9±6.8e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₂F₁</td>
<td>44.2±1.1hc</td>
<td>6.01±0.10abc</td>
<td>37.1±1.3</td>
<td>487.0±8.4de</td>
<td>7.51±0.02</td>
<td>177.6±1.3c</td>
<td>240.5±5.0fcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₂F₂</td>
<td>42.0±1.2id</td>
<td>5.84±0.11cde</td>
<td>34.8±2.2</td>
<td>508.3±9.1f</td>
<td>7.62±0.02</td>
<td>178.7±2.4f</td>
<td>252.5±4.5fh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₂F₃</td>
<td>39.5±0.8g</td>
<td>5.56±0.11d</td>
<td>35.1±1.4</td>
<td>515.9±4.5g</td>
<td>7.60±0.03</td>
<td>180.4±0.9e</td>
<td>258.7±3.4g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₂F₄</td>
<td>31.0±1.3h</td>
<td>4.49±0.08i</td>
<td>24.6±1.0</td>
<td>443.6±8.9f</td>
<td>7.21±0.08</td>
<td>156.0±2.0f</td>
<td>192.3±1.8e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₃F₁</td>
<td>32.9±1.4g</td>
<td>4.81±0.12g</td>
<td>25.5±1.8</td>
<td>395.1±5.0g</td>
<td>6.93±0.05</td>
<td>150.5±1.9g</td>
<td>165.3±3.8f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₃F₂</td>
<td>31.1±1.7h</td>
<td>4.60±0.14h</td>
<td>24.8±2.7</td>
<td>393.9±3.2h</td>
<td>6.90±0.09</td>
<td>149.9±1.2h</td>
<td>164.2±2.5g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₃F₃</td>
<td>28.9±1.2i</td>
<td>4.16±0.04i</td>
<td>22.3±1.5</td>
<td>387.5±4.1h</td>
<td>6.82±0.10</td>
<td>147.3±4.2i</td>
<td>158.5±2.6f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₃F₄</td>
<td>22.9±1.3</td>
<td>3.88±0.06</td>
<td>17.8±0.5</td>
<td>361.1±4.7</td>
<td>6.57±0.04</td>
<td>139.3±0.9</td>
<td>139.9±1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by the same letter in each parameter is not significantly different (P<0.05), I₁, I₂ & I₃ drip irrigation at 1.0V, 0.8V and 0.6V, whereas, F₁, F₂, F₃ & F₄ fertigation at 120% RDF, 100% RDF, 80% RDF and 60% RDF, respectively.

Conclusion

The application of water and fertilizers through drip system could save substantial quantity of irrigation water and fertilizers. Combined application of drip irrigation at 0.8V of water and 80% of RDF found to be efficient in sustained Kinnow production under Hisar condition as it recorded significantly highest number of fruits (515.9), fruit weight (180.4 g) and fruit yield (258.7 q ha⁻¹) over other treatment combinations.
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